Kenneth A. Reed Law Offices of Kenneth A Reed 406 West Fourth Street Santa Ana, CA 92701 (714) 953-7400 Fax: (714) 953-7412 Kenneth@kennethreedlaw.net

4

5

1

2

3

Attorney for: John Stinson

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ,

VS.

JOHN STINSON,

6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7

9

J

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No.: 20-cr-00238-JLT-20

DEFENDANT STINSON'S POSITION WITH REGARD TO SENTENCING

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

# I. INTRODUCTION

Defendant, John Stinson, by and through, Kenneth A. Reed, his attorney of record, hereby files his final sentencing position in this matter.

Counsel has reviewed the probation and sentencing report and he has sent a copy of the report to Mr. Stinson at the Calfornia State prison where he is currently being housed. The defense has no outcome determinative objection to the guideline calculation, or the criminal history calculation in the PSR.

US v. Stinson

Defendant's Sentencing Position.

Case No: 20-cr-0238-JLT-20

On February 14, 2025, Mr. Stinson was found guilty by a

jury verdict to one count of the 13-count Third Superseding

Indictment. Count 1 charged a Conspiracy to Participate in

Racketeering Enterprise, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

"As to COUNT ONE-Conspiracy to Participate in a Racketeering"

Enterprise, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,

Section 1962 (d), we, the jury in this matter, unanimously find

defendant JOHN STINSON. Also as to Count One-the jury found it

to be true On or about October 1, 2022, continuing to on or

about May 1, 2023, unlawfully, willfully, and intentionally

conspired with others to kill Andrew Collins with malice

The quideline for a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) is USSG

§2E1.1. Pursuant to §2E1.1(a)(2), the guideline referenced when

determining the offense level is that of the underlying

racketeering activity. Mr. Stinson was found to have conspired

with AB members to murder AB Member Andrew Collins. The object

of the offense would have constituted first-degree murder;

however, Mr. Collins was not killed. Therefore, the quideline

The Offense

The jury verdict found:

2

1

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

aforethought."

Guideline Calculation

Base Offense Level .

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

2526

27

28

US v. Stinson

Defendant's Sentencing Position.

Case No: 20-cr-0238-JLT-20

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

US v. Stinson Defendant's Sentencing Position.

for Attempted First Degree Murder pursuant to USSG §2A2.1 is used, and the base offense level is 33. (USSG  $\S$ \$2E1.1(a)(2) and 2A1.5(a)).

# Adjusted Offense calculation

None of the specific offense characteristics associated with this count are present in this case as to Mr. Stinson, therefore the offense level remains at Level 33.

Defendant has no objection and would join the PSR in the conclusion that Overt Acts D and E are outside of the relevant conduct as to Mr. Stinson. Acts of others that were not within the scope of the the defendant's agreement, even if those acts were known or reasonably foreseeable, are not relevant conduct under subsection (a)(1)(B). See United States v. Hunter, 323 F.3d 1314, 1319-20 (11th Cir. 2003) (reasonable foreseeability is irrelevant to relevant conduct if the acts in question are not also within the scope of the criminal activity). Nor can criminal activity of which a defendant had no notice be within the scope of his or her agreement, even if that activity was part of the same overall conspiracy and substantially similar to the defendant's own activity. See, e.g., United States v. Presendieu, 880 F.3d 1228, 1246 (11th Cir. 2018) (a defendant's "mere awareness" of being part of a larger scheme did not mean that losses independently caused by an actor of whom she was unaware were within the scope of her agreement).

# Criminal History

There is no argument with the fact that John Stinson has been in and out of prison for most of his life. Nor would the defense take issue with the conclusion that most of Mr. Stinson's adult life has been in prison.

Mr. Stinson also does not take issue with a total criminal history calculation score of 9. The defense also agrees that that a criminal history calculation of level IV and offense level 33 results in a guideline range of 188 to range35 months.

# 18 USC 3553 Factors

It is clear that a sentencing court must give respectful consideration to the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines, Booker permits the court to tailor the sentence in light of other statutory concerns as well.

In light of the forgoing the post Booker sentencing scheme has been to have the Guidelines as the starting point and the initial benchmark, district courts may impose sentences within statutory limits based on appropriate consideration of all of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C.S. § 3553(a)<sup>1</sup>, subject to appellate review for "reasonableness."

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the Court shall impose a sentence sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in paragraph (2) of this subsection. The Court, in determining the particular sentence to be imposed, shall consider:

1. The nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and

### Case 1:20-cr-00238-JLT-SKO Document 1871 Filed 04/30/25 Page 5 of 6

The court can review the relevant § 3553(a) and factors for Mr. Stinson and find that a life sentence was "not necessary" to promote respect for law, to deter others, or to protect the public; in fact it would be "simply excessive".

Mr. Stinson has a led a rule abiding life since he returned to the California State Prison system after the first Aryan Brotherhood RICO case conviction back in 2002.

This assertion is based on the fact that since Mr. Stinson returned to the CDCR he programed his way down to Solano State Prison, a level two yard, as has been so designated since 2018. In the CDCR, a level II prison is considered a medium security facility. It's designed to house inmates who pose a moderate security risk, typically having a history of violence or escape attempts, but not deemed high risk. These prisons often have more restrictions than level I facilities<sup>2</sup>, with limited privileges like visitation and recreation time, and closer

21 characteristics of the defendant;

<sup>2.</sup> The need for the sentence imposed--

a. To reflect the seriousness of the offense; to p

romote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense;

b. To afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct;

c. To protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and

d. To provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational

training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner.

<sup>3.</sup> The kinds of sentences available;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mr. Stinsons has the points for a level one yard, but his previous State prison sentence of Life Without Possibility of Parol administratively prevents him from progressing to a Level 1 prison.

## Case 1:20-cr-00238-JLT-SKO Document 1871 Filed 04/30/25 Page 6 of 6

monitoring by correctional officers. Mr. Stinson's criminal history means that he cannot be designated to a level one yard, but he can earn the classification down to a level two yard, which he has done.

The defense would ask the Court to consider a sentence for Mr. Stinson at the low end of the (Offense level 33, Criminal History IV) guidelines or below. Counsel is not ignoring the fact that the allegation in the third Superceding Indictment covers the period of time that partially occurred during the time that Mr. Stinson was a Solano state prison inmate, but the defense would still ask the Court to consider the foregoing request. A low end of the guideline or below, sentence would still reflect that this is a serious matter, would still promote respect for the law and provide punishment, and it still would afford adequate deterrence to others. Accordingly, we are asking the Court to "exercise its discretion to depart from the Guidelines or to deviate from the Guidelines based upon its consideration of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.Code Section 3553(a)" by imposing a term below 188 months imprisonment.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: April 30, 2025

Kenneth Reed
Kenneth Reed

Counsel for John Stinson

US v. Stinson

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28